UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

RALPH VARGAS and BLAND - RICKY ROBERTS,

Plaintiffs,

- V. -

PFIZER INC., PUBLICIS, INC., FLUID MUSIC, EAST WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC., and BRIAN TRANSEAU p/k/a "BT",

Defendants.

Case No.: 04 CV 9772 (WHP)

ECF Case

[PROPOSED] JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER

Plaintiffs Ralph Vargas and Bland-Ricky Roberts ("Plaintiffs") and Defendants Brian Transeau ("BT") and East West Communications ("Defendants") submit the following Pretrial Order.

- 1. The full caption of this action appears above.
- 2. The names and addresses of trial counsel are as follows:
 - A. Plaintiffs

Paul A. Chin LAW OFFICES OF PAUL A. CHIN 233 Broadway, 5th Floor New York, NY 10007 Telephone: (212) 964-8030

B. Defendant Brian Transeau

Anthony T. Falzone (admitted *pro hac vice*)
David S. Olson (DO 4906)
STANFORD LAW SCHOOL
559 Nathan Abbott Way
Stanford, CA 94305-8610
Talankara (650) 724 0517

Telephone: (650) 724-0517 Facsimile: (650) 723-4426 Alice C. Garber (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Julie A. Ahrens (JA 0372)
Christopher W. Keegan (admitted *pro hac vice*)
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
555 California Street
San Francisco, California 94104-1501
Telephone: (415) 439-1400
Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

Eric M. Stahl
DAVIS WRIGHT & TREMAINE LLP
2600 Century Square
1501 Fourth Avenue
Seattle, Washington 98101-1688
Telephone (206) 622, 2150

Defendant East West Communications, Inc.

Telephone: (206) 622-3150 Facsimile: (206) 628-7699

- 3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a), as this is an action arising under the Copyright Act, 17 U.S.C. § 101, *et seq.*
 - 4. The parties assert the following claims and defenses in this action:

A. Plaintiffs

C.

Plaintiffs assert that they own a valid copyright in the musical composition *Bust Dat Groove* (*w/o ride*) ("*Bust Dat Groove*") and the recording of the performance of that composition that is included on Plaintiffs' album *Funky Drummer II*. Plaintiffs further assert that Defendants have infringed plaintiffs' exclusive right to copy and make derivative works of the recording of *Bust Dat Groove* in violation of 17 U.S.C. §§ 106, 115 and 501 through the recording and distribution of Defendants' work *Aparthenonia*. Plaintiffs assert they are entitled to damages pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §§ 504(b)-(c) and 505. Plaintiffs assert that the settlement of their claims against Defendants Pfizer, Inc., Publicis, Inc. and Fluid Music is inadmissible at trial and that Defendants East West Communications and Brian Transeau are not entitled to reduce any judgment entered against them by the amount Plaintiffs received under its settlement with the other Defendants.

B. Defendants

Defendants deny that Plaintiffs have a valid copyright in the composition of *Bust Dat Groove* on the ground it is not subject to copyright protection due to lack of originality.

Defendants deny they have infringed any copyrights Plaintiffs have in the composition or recording of *Bust Dat Groove*. Specifically, Defendants contend that they did not own or have access to *Bust Dat Groove*, that they did not copy *Bust Dat Groove*, and that they created *Aparthenonia* independently. *See, e.g.*, 17 U.S.C. §114(b). Defendants further contend that even if Plaintiffs could prove copying, Defendants did not infringe Plaintiffs' copyrights because there is no substantial similarity between *Aparthenonia* and any protectable element of *Bust Dat Groove*. Defendants further contend that even if Plaintiffs can show copying and substantial similarity between *Aparthenonia* and any protectable element of *Bust Dat Groove*, any use of material from the recording that contains *Bust Dat Groove* was *de minimis* and thus protected by Fair Use. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 107. Defendants further contend that Plaintiffs have suffered no actual damages and that Plaintiffs have in any event been fully compensated for any damages they might be entitled to by virtue of the substantial settlement they have already received from other defendants in this matter. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 504.

C. Claims Not To Be Tried

In their Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs allege that Defendants copied or otherwise misappropriated twenty other musical compositions contained on the albums *Funky Drummer II* and *Funky Drummer II*, in addition to *Bust Dat Groove*. *See* Second Amended Complaint ¶ 23. Defendants deny these allegations. Plaintiffs have agreed not to pursue these allegations, and have agreed not to assert, or introduce any evidence, that Defendants copied or otherwise misappropriated any composition or recording of any track other than *Bust Dat Groove*. Plaintiffs have further agreed that they will not assert, or introduce any evidence, that any work other than *Aparthenonia* infringes Plaintiffs' copyrights.

5. This case is to be tried to a jury. The parties expect that the trial of this matter will require 7-10 trial days.

- 6. The parties have <u>not</u> consented to trial of this matter before a United States Magistrate Judge.
 - 7. The parties have agreed and stipulated to the facts set forth in Exhibit A.
- 8. The parties expect to present testimony from the following witnesses in their respective cases in chief. Each party reserves the right to call witnesses from the other party's list should the party listing the witness choose not to call that witness to testify.

A. Plaintiffs

Witness	Manner of Testimony
Ralph Vargas	Live
Bland-Ricky Roberts	Live
Matthew Ritter	Live
Ivan Rodriguez	Live
Dr. Steven Smith	Live

B. Defendants

Witness	Manner of Testimony
Brian Transeau	Live
Carols Vasquez	Live
Mike DiMittia	Live
Anthony Ricigliano	Live
Dr. Richard Boulanger	Live
Rhys Moody	Live
Doug Rogers	Live

9. The parties do not anticipate offering any deposition testimony in their respective cases in chief unless any witness listed above becomes unavailable or otherwise unable to testify.

10. The exhibits Plaintiffs expect to offer in their case in chief are set forth in Exhibit B, along with Defendants' objections. The exhibits Defendants expect to offer in their case in their case in chief are set forth in Exhibit C, along with Plaintiffs' objections.

Dated: October 30, 2006.

/s/ Paul A. Chin_

Paul A. Chin

LAW OFFICES OF PAUL A. CHIN

233 Broadway, 5th Floor New York , NY 10007

Telephone: (212) 964-8030

Attorney for Plaintiffs

RALPH VARGAS and BLAND-RICKY

ROBERTS

Dated: October 30, 2006.

/s/ Julie A. Ahrens

Alice C. Garber (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Julie A. Ahrens (JA 0372)

Christopher W. Keegan (admitted pro hac vice)

KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP

555 California Street

San Francisco, California 94104-1501

Telephone: (415) 439-1400 Facsimile: (415) 439-1500

Anthony T. Falzone (admitted *pro hac vice*)

David S. Olson (DO 4906) STANFORD LAW SCHOOL 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford, CA 94305-8610

Telephone: (650) 724-0517 Facsimile: (650) 723-4426

Attorneys for Defendant

BRIAN TRANSEAU p/k/a "BT,"

Dated: October 30, 2006.

/s/ Eric M. Stahl

Eric M. Stahl

DAVIS WRIGHT & TREMAINE LLP

2600 Century Square 1501 Fourth Avenue

Seattle, Washington 98101-1688

Telephone: (206) 622-3150 Facsimile: (206) 628-7699

Attorneys for Defendant

EAST WEST COMMUNICATIOJNS, INC.